

### Addendum # 3 to NOFA #001

Pursuant to Section 1.9 of the NOTICE OF FUNDING AVAILABILITY #001 (“NOFA”): “The Office reserves the right to amend this NOFA at any time. In the event the Office decides to amend, add to, or delete any part of this NOFA, a written amendment will be attached to the NOFA as an addendum, and posted at Iowa Grants and <https://ocio.iowa.gov/broadband>.” The following amends NOFA #001:

#### Amendment #3:

1. Section 3.1.4 (Completeness) of the NOFA describes the “Completeness” factor for purposes of the quantitative scoring as follows:

This factor operates on the premise that Projects that make 25/3 available to more Broadband Units within Targeted Service Areas forming the basis of a Project are more favorable. Formula: The aggregate number of Broadband Units within the Targeted Service Areas forming the basis of the Project divided by (÷) the total Broadband Units Applicant represents will be supplied 25/3 upon the completion of the Project. This results in a “completeness” ratio. The *higher* the ratio, the more complete the Project.

(Emphasis added.). In preparing to conduct the quantitative scoring process, the office has determined the NOFA incorrectly stated that a “higher” ratio is better as a result of a clerical error. In fact, a “lower” ratio is better, as evidenced by the first sentence describing this factor: “This factor operates on the premise that Projects that make 25/3 available to *more Broadband Units within Targeted Service Areas forming the basis of a Project are more favorable.*” As a result, Section 3.1.4 (Completeness) of the NOFA is deleted in its entirety and replaced with the following:

This factor operates on the premise that Projects that make 25/3 available to more Broadband Units within Targeted Service Areas forming the basis of a Project are more favorable. Formula: The aggregate number of Broadband Units within the Targeted Service Areas forming the basis of the Project divided by (÷) the total Broadband Units Applicant represents will be supplied 25/3 upon the completion of the Project. This results in a “completeness” ratio. The *lower* the ratio, the more complete the Project.

(Emphasis added.). This does not substantively alter the way OCIO intended to conduct scoring. Further, OCIO does not believe this clerical error should have any impact on whether Applicants chose to submit an Application or how Applicant’s completed/framed their Applications. **From the Office’s perspective, this should not require you to modify or alter your application in any way.**