# Red Tape Review Rule Report

(Due: September 1, 20 24 )

Department	Management	Date:	8/7/2024	<b>Total Rule</b>	7
Name:				Count:	
	543	Chapter/	7	Iowa Code	Ch. 25,
IAC #:		SubChapter/		Section	Sections
		Rule(s):		Authorizing	24.26,
				Rule:	24.30,
					24.31, 24.32
Contact	Brad Horn	Email:	Brad.horn@dom.iowa.gov	Phone:	515-414-
Name:					6187

## PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

#### What is the intended benefit of the rule?

The rule is being consolidated into Chapter 5. See the explanation for Chapter 5. There are no substantive changes.

## Is the benefit being achieved? Please provide evidence.

The rule is being consolidated into Chapter 5. See the explanation for Chapter 5. There have been no concerns with the Agency Procedure for Rulemaking compliance by the board or with these rules. The rules are simply being consolidated.

## What are the costs incurred by the public to comply with the rule?

The question isn't really relevant here. The rules are simply being reorganized.

What are the costs to the agency or any other agency to implement/enforce the rule?

None.

Do the costs justify the benefits achieved? Please explain.

Yes. There really are no costs.

Are there less restrictive alternatives to accomplish the benefit? 

YES 

NO

If YES, please list alternative(s) and provide analysis of less restrictive alternatives from other states, if applicable. If NO, please explain.

All agencies have to have a rule that addresses the procedure for rulemaking. The current rule adopts the Uniform Rule in this regard, and the replacement rule in new Chapter 5 does the same.

Does this chapter/rule(s) contain language that is obsolete, outdated, inconsistent, redundant, or unnecessary language, including instances where rule language is duplicative of statutory language? [list chapter/rule number(s) that fall under any of the above categories]

### PLEASE NOTE, THE BOXES BELOW WILL EXPAND AS YOU TYPE

Not really. Both the old and new rule just implement the Uniform Rule related to agency rulemaking.

### **RULES PROPOSED FOR REPEAL (list rule number[s]):**

All of Chapter 7.

### RULES PROPOSED FOR RE-PROMULGATION (list rule number[s] or include rule text if available):

See the separate publication related to new Chapter 5, in which the agency procedures for rulemaking of the Uniform Rules are being adopted.

\*For rules being re-promulgated with changes, you may attach a document with suggested changes.

## **METRICS**

Total number of rules repealed:	7	
Proposed word count reduction after repeal and/or re-promulgation	318 words (as a result of	
	this repeal and not taking	
	into consideration the	
	word count in new	
	Chapter 5).	
Proposed number of restrictive terms eliminated after repeal and/or re-	At most, 2. There is one	
promulgation	reference to a	
	"requirement" and one	
	reference to a	
	"restriction" in the rules	
	today. The new rules in	
	Chapter 5 avoid these	
	words.	

ARE THERE ANY STATUTORY CHANGES YOU WOULD RECOMMEND INCLUDING CODIFYING ANY RULES?								